Workshop: What We Do When We Do Philosophy Part I

Sara L. Uckelman
Durham University
s.l.uckelman@durham.ac.uk
@SaraLUckelman

14th Principia International Symposium 28 July 2025

WARNING: This is an *interactive* workshop, I *will* expect audience participation!



(Mostly in Part II, though some in Part I).

What is philosophy?

What kinds of topics?

What kinds of methods?

My methods

- Reading, writing, thinking
- ...and talking!

My methods

- Reading, writing, thinking
- ...and talking!

- Edge cases
- Intersection
- What's in there?
- Tool combination

Methodology and question-asking

- How do we use these methodologies to ask and answer questions?
- What would count as an answer to these questions?
- What would count as a good answer to these questions?

Why am I asking these questions?

Why am I asking these questions?

- Self-reflection
- 2 Impact on our history
- Impact on our future

Thinking about the past: Methodology of the history of philosophy (and science)

Thinking about the past: Methodology in the history of philosophy (and science)

How this applies to history (of philosophy and science)

- Where would historical philosophers put themselves on this spectrum?
- Would they put themselves on the spectrum at all?

Defining philosophy over time

- philosophy vs. theology (in the early middle ages)
- (natural) philosophy vs. science (in the late middle ages / early modern era)
- philosophy vs. psychology (in the late 19th C)

How we read historical texts

- As historical texts (historical curiosities)
- As philosophy of their time
- As philosophy of our time

- What we read/study from the past determines what will "count" in the future.
- Who we ready/study from the past determines who will "count" in the future.

Workshop: What We Do When We Do Philosophy Part II

Sara L. Uckelman
Durham University
s.l.uckelman@durham.ac.uk
@SaraLUckelman

14th Principia International Symposium 31 July 2025

Have you done your homework??

• Homework question 1: Where on the spectrum do you fall?

content	methods
topic	techniques
depth	tools

- Homework question 2: If topic: What is your chair/not-chair list? How do you define it?
- **Homework question 3**: If method: What methods? What methods are *not* philosophical?

More homework!

Homework question 4

- What is analytic philosophy content or method?
- What methods? What content?
- Who is included? Excluded?

More homework!!

Homework question 5: What problems did you face in answering the previous homework problems?

More homework!!!

Homework question 6: What is the impact (of trying to answer these questions) on:

- Your research?
- Your teaching? (What is philosophy vs. how we do philosophy?)
- Your scope of philosophy?
- Who/what gets included/excluded?

- What we read/study determines what will "count" in the future.
- Who we ready/study determines who will "count" in the future.

There is no one *right* way to answer these questions. What is important is that we

- (a) know what our answers are
- (b) can say why we have answered this way
- (c) understand how our answers impact our practice.

There is no one *right* way to answer these questions. What is important is that we

- (a) know what our answers are
- (b) can say why we have answered this way
- (c) understand how our answers impact our practice.

Why?

There is no one *right* way to answer these questions. What is important is that we

- (a) know what our answers are
- (b) can say why we have answered this way
- (c) understand how our answers impact our practice.

Why?

Because this is the only way we can work to dismantle barriers to entry and systematic structures of exclusion.