

Teaching Feminist Logic vs. Feminist Teaching of Logic

Dr. Sara L. Uckelman
Durham University
s.l.uckelman@durham.ac.uk
@SaraLUckelman

University of Groningen
16 April 2025

Plan for the talk

- Feminist logic
- Teaching logic
- Feminist teaching of logic
- Discussion!

Feminist logic

- What it is.
- Why it matters.

Feminist logic: What is it? (1)

Does feminist logic even exist?

Feminist logic: What is it? (1)

Does feminist logic even exist?

- One cannot be a feminist and a logician (Nye 1990).
- “By most accounts the answer would be no” (Hass 1999, p. 190).
- The ‘feminist critique of reason’ (cf. Fairbank forthcoming, §1).
- If certain types of exceptionalism about logic are true, probably not (Russell 2024, p. 81).
 - ▶ Exceptionalism about method: Deductive proof isn’t susceptible to gender bias.
 - ▶ Exceptionalism about content: If logic has no subject matter, gender can’t be its subject matter.
- No, because there aren’t enough women in the field (Longino, quoted in Barceló Aspeitia 2024, p. 59).

Feminist logic: What is it? (2)

If it does exist, what does it look like?

- Feminist logic must reject classical binary negation (Plumwood 1993).
- Logic is *one* avenue to truth, not an *exclusive* avenue (Ayim 1995).
- Logic being feminist involves “some appropriate special relationship between it and the movement for gender equality” (Russell 2024, p. 80).
- “Feminist logic, then, is a theory of logical consequence that is grounded in feminist philosophy of logic” (Fairbank forthcoming), where feminist philosophy is “a discipline that is singled out by its interests and methodology: it is philosophy of logic that answers and is answerable to feminist philosophy” (Fairbank forthcoming).

Feminist logic: What is it? (3)

A (not-exhaustive!) classification of “the collection of projects known as ‘feminist logic’ or, a bit more carefully, feminist approaches to logic (and its philosophy)” (Saint-Croix & Cook 2024, pp. 21–22):

- 1 Projects in philosophical logic, attempting to formalize notions used in the positions, arguments, and disputes of feminist philosophy (what Restović (2023) calls “logic for feminist ends” (p. 321).)
- 2 Debates in philosophy of logic, about which logics are correct or useful, when evaluated from a feminist perspective.
- 3 Pedagogical projects, re-examining classroom practices.

Feminist logic: Why it matters

- New tools, new topics—new insights? (Mangraviti 2023).
- An increasingly important part of the history of logic (see bibliography!).
- Some logicians might want to be feminists; some feminists might want to be logicians (Uckelman 2025a).
- Ways to counter biases (Russell 2024).
- Inclusion vs. exclusion (Uckelman 2025a).

Feminist logic: Why it matters

- New tools, new topics—new insights? (Mangraviti 2023).
- An increasingly important part of the history of logic (see bibliography!).
- Some logicians might want to be feminists; some feminists might want to be logicians (Uckelman 2025a).
- Ways to counter biases (Russell 2024).
- Inclusion vs. exclusion (Uckelman 2025a).

Since it matters, maybe we should teach it more systematically.

Teaching logic

Metacognition/metacognitive reflection: How much do we actually think about what we are doing when we are teaching logic, and why we are doing it?

Teaching logic

Metacognition/metacognitive reflection: How much do we actually think about what we are doing when we are teaching logic, and why we are doing it?

Two things to think about:

- Content (what we teach)
- Method (why we teach it)

Content vs. Method

- Arguments for teaching feminist logic are aimed at getting us to think about the *content* of what we teach in logic classes.
- Arguments for feminist teaching of logic are aimed at getting us to think about *how* we teach this content, regardless of what the content is.

Content vs. Method

- Arguments for teaching feminist logic are aimed at getting us to think about the *content* of what we teach in logic classes.
- Arguments for feminist teaching of logic are aimed at getting us to think about *how* we teach this content, regardless of what the content is.

Think back to the “why it matters”: Is teaching feminist logic the only way to address these things? No!

Feminist teaching of logic

Saint-Croix and Cook 2024, pp. 21–22:

- ① Investigating the role that gender plays in logic instruction and learning
- ② Models of argument analysis taught in logic courses
- ③ Exploring ways to teach (classical/traditional) logic in the face of feminist criticisms
- ④ Assessing the prevalence and perniciousness of the ‘adversarial method’ of argument evaluation in logic texts

An additional suggestion

Pedagogical investigations in feminist logic need not be constricted to topics or issues in the intersection of logic and gender or logic and feminism.

An additional suggestion

Pedagogical investigations in feminist logic need not be constricted to topics or issues in the intersection of logic and gender or logic and feminism.

Unfeminist or non-feminist logic can be taught in a feminist way.

Feminist teaching of logic (1)

- What it is.
- Why it matters.

Feminist teaching of logic (2)

Feminist pedagogy begins with a vision of what education might be like but frequently is not. This is a vision of the classroom as a liberatory environment in which we, teacher-student and student-teacher, act as subjects, not objects. Feminist pedagogy is engaged teaching/learning—engaged with self in a continuing reflective process; engaged actively with the material being studied; engage with others in a struggle to get beyond our sexism and racism and classism and homophobia and other destructive hatreds and to work together to enhance our knowledge; engaged with the community, with traditional organizations, and with movements for social change (Shrewsbury 1987, p. 6).

How I teach logic (1)

(Uckelman 2025b)

- Goals:
 - ▶ How to follow directions
 - ▶ How to reason from a definition

- Barriers:
 - ▶ Lack of application (laziness?)
 - ▶ Fear

How I teach logic (1)

(Uckelman 2025b)

- Goals:
 - ▶ How to follow directions
 - ▶ How to reason from a definition
 - ▶ **Not** (necessarily): 'for them to learn logic'!
 - ▶ How to fail in front of their peers
- Barriers:
 - ▶ Lack of application (laziness?)
 - ▶ Fear

How I teach logic (2)

- Setting explicit expectations:
 - ▶ *Everyone* is expected to participate.
 - ▶ *Anyone* can decline to participate at any given moment.

How I teach logic (2)

- Setting explicit expectations:
 - ▶ *Everyone* is expected to participate.
 - ▶ *Anyone* can decline to participate at any given moment.
- How does this work?
 - ▶ Small groups, lots of exercises.
 - ▶ Cold-calling *without* censure.
 - ▶ Elicit volunteers.
 - ▶ Logic by democracy.
 - ▶ Scribe vs. answerer.

Trauma-informed pedagogy

To be trauma-informed, in any context, is to understand how violence, victimization, and other traumatic experiences may have figured in the lives of the individuals involved and to apply that understanding to the provision of services and the design of systems so that they accommodate the needs and vulnerabilities of trauma survivors. A central tenet of this view is that individual safety must be ensured through efforts to minimize the possibilities for inadvertent retraumatization, secondary traumatization, or wholly new traumatizations in the delivery of services (Carello & Butler 2014, p. 156).

Is trauma-informed pedagogy feminist?

- Insofar as they share the same/similar aims, yes!
- Feminist pedagogy is about “making space for collective care in the classroom”, where this care is a collective responsibility of all members in the classroom (Wilkinson 2025, p. 8).
- Trauma-informed pedagogy is a part of this.

Why it matters

- If we care about logic, we should care about making logic accessible.
- If we want our classes to be accessible, we should be think carefully about our teaching methods.
- If we want our classes to be accessible, we should aim for inclusive, rather than exclusive, pedagogy.
- Feminist/trauma-informed pedagogy seeks to create spaces for this type of inclusion, whatever the content being taught.
- Feminist teaching of logic matters because it is a way to ensue our discipline remains as robust and as strong and as future-proof as it can be—recruiting the next generation of logicians widely.

Bibliography

- Maryann Ayim, "Passing Through the Needle's Eye: Can a Feminist Teach Logic?" *Argumentation* 9 (1995).
- Axel A. Barceló Aspeitia, "Classical Logic and the Gender Binary: A Response to Mangraviti's 'The Contribution of Logic to Epistemic Injustice'" *Social Epistemology Review and Reply Collective* 13, no. 5 (2024).
- Janice Carello & Lisa D. Butler, "Potentially Perilous Pedagogies: Teaching Trauma Is Not the Same as Trauma-Informed Teaching," *Journal of Trauma & Dissociation* 15 (2014).
- Viviane Fairbank, "Feminist Logic and Logical Exceptionalism: Revisiting the Feminist Critique of Reason," *Oxford Handbook for Philosophy of Logic*, F. Ferrari, E. Brendel, M. Carrara, O. Hjørtland, G. Sagi, G. Sher & F. Steinberger, eds. (Oxford University Press: forthcoming).
- Marjorie Hass, "Can There Be a Feminist Logic?," *Is Feminist Philosophy Philosophy?*, E. Bianchi, ed. (Northwestern University Press, 1999).
- Franci Mangraviti, "The Liberation Argument for Inconsistent Mathematics," *Australasian Journal of Logic* 20, no. 2 (2023): 278–317.
- Andrea Nye, *Words of Power: A Feminist Reading of the History of Logic* (Routledge, 1990).
- Val Plumwood, "The Politics of Reason: Towards a Feminist Logic," *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, 71, no. 4 (1993).
- Ivan Restović, "Feminist Logic, Literally," *Australasian Journal of Logic* 20, no. 2 (2023).
- Gillian K. Russell, "From Anti-Exceptionalism to Feminist Logic," *Hypatia* 39 (2024).
- Catharine Saint-Croix & Roy T. Cook, "(What) Is Feminist Logic? (What) Do We Want It to Be?," *History and Philosophy of Logic*, 45 no. 1 (2024).
- Catherine M. Shrewsbury, "What is feminist pedagogy?" *Women's Studies Quarterly* 15, no. 3 (1987).
- Sara L. Uckelman, "Logic as Liberation, or, Logic, Feminism, and Being a Feminist in Logic," *Logica Yearbook 2023*, I. Sedlar, ed. (College Publications, 2025). (a)
- Sara L. Uckelman, "Failing in Front of Your Peers: A New Pedagogy of Logic," *Innovations in Teaching Philosophy*, B. Welch, ed. (Bloomsbury, 2025). (b)
- Eleanor Wilkinson, "Feminist Pedagogy in the Neoliberal University: On Violence, Vulnerability and Radical Care," *Gender and Education* (online first, 2025).